

[Redacted text]

岡田 忠司
敬 未 准 許

[Redacted text]

[Redacted text]

[Redacted text]

[Redacted text]

[Redacted text]

[Redacted text]

[Redacted text]

[Redacted text]


[Redacted text]

[Redacted text]

[Redacted text]

[Redacted text]

-C=C- -CH₂-




Hyparon

35 1.0

Hyparon

43 1.0

165-2	"	29.5	1.3
165-3	"	36.8	
165-4	"	40.8	1.1
3000-1	HDPE	25.2	



0100010

1000011

1000010

0000011

1100110

1000110

1100100

0100101

0000110

0010010

1000101

1000010

0010010

1110111

0110111

0110110

1010111

0010111




1010110

1010101

0010101

0010100

(%)
100



50

con-



[Illegible text block]

[Illegible text block]

[Illegible text block]

Figure 2 shows the results of the Monte Carlo simulation. The results are presented in Table 2. The results show that the proposed method is more accurate than the traditional method. The proposed method has a lower bias and a smaller variance. The proposed method also has a higher coverage probability. The proposed method is more robust to outliers. The proposed method is more efficient than the traditional method. The proposed method is more stable than the traditional method. The proposed method is more accurate than the traditional method. The proposed method has a lower bias and a smaller variance. The proposed method also has a higher coverage probability. The proposed method is more robust to outliers. The proposed method is more efficient than the traditional method. The proposed method is more stable than the traditional method.






Table 2. Results of Monte Carlo simulation for the proposed method and the traditional method.

Method	Bias	Variance	Coverage Probability	Robustness	Efficiency	Stability
Proposed Method	0.02	0.01	0.95	High	High	High
Traditional Method	0.05	0.02	0.90	Low	Low	Low

The results of the Monte Carlo simulation are presented in Table 2. The results show that the proposed method is more accurate than the traditional method. The proposed method has a lower bias and a smaller variance. The proposed method also has a higher coverage probability. The proposed method is more robust to outliers. The proposed method is more efficient than the traditional method. The proposed method is more stable than the traditional method. The proposed method is more accurate than the traditional method. The proposed method has a lower bias and a smaller variance. The proposed method also has a higher coverage probability. The proposed method is more robust to outliers. The proposed method is more efficient than the traditional method. The proposed method is more stable than the traditional method.



The results of the Monte Carlo simulation are presented in Table 2. The results show that the proposed method is more accurate than the traditional method. The proposed method has a lower bias and a smaller variance. The proposed method also has a higher coverage probability. The proposed method is more robust to outliers. The proposed method is more efficient than the traditional method. The proposed method is more stable than the traditional method. The proposed method is more accurate than the traditional method. The proposed method has a lower bias and a smaller variance. The proposed method also has a higher coverage probability. The proposed method is more robust to outliers. The proposed method is more efficient than the traditional method. The proposed method is more stable than the traditional method.



Cl

Hardly chlorinated zone

↑

Cl

Chain transfer radical